


REMARKS

Regarding the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of claims 25 and 27 as being anticipated by Smith (U.S. Patent No. 6,153,716), the applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's assessment. The applicant agrees with the Examiner that Smith discloses a display, a periscope style viewer (element 300 in Fig. 15), secured by a post or other fixed type structure with the viewer rotatable about a vertical axis defined by the element 308 in Fig. 15 (col. 10, lines 63-66). However, the Examiner further alleges that Smith discloses a second platform within which said first platform part is rotatably mounted for rotation about a second axis which is defined by the pole in Fig. 14 that element 300 rotates around. This assessment is incorrect. It appears from Figs. 14 and 15 that the pole in Fig. 14 corresponds to the element 308 in Fig. 15 and there is no second axis of rotation for the periscope style viewer 300 disclosed by Smith. Thus claims 25 and 27 of the present invention do not read onto the Smith disclosure.

The rejections of the Official Action of February 19, 2003, having been shown to be inapplicable, withdrawal thereof is requested and passage of claims 1-31 to issue is solicited. Formal drawings are enclosed for the Examiner's approval.

Respectfully submitted,


Francis J. Maguire
Attorney for the Applicant
Registration No. 31,391

/mo
March 5, 2003
WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS
& ADOLPHSON LLP
Bradford Green, Building 5
755 Main Street, PO Box 224
Monroe, Connecticut 06468
(203) 261-1234